

§§ 52.327–52.328

40 CFR Ch. I (7–1–08 Edition)

meet the NO_x provisions of the Federal transportation and general conformity rule with respect to ozone. The exemption request was based on monitoring data which demonstrated that the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone had been attained in this area for the 3 years prior to the petition. The EPA approved this exemption request on July 28, 1995.

[60 FR 40291, Aug. 8, 1995]

§§ 52.327–52.328 [Reserved]

§ 52.329 Rules and regulations.

(a) On January 14, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted revisions to the State's nonattainment new source review permitting regulations to bring the State's regulations up to date with the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act. With these revisions, the State's regulations satisfy the part D new source review permitting requirements for the following nonattainment areas: the Canon City, Lamar, Pagosa Springs, Aspen, Telluride, and Steamboat Springs moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment areas, the Denver/Metro Boulder, Longmont, Colorado Springs, and Fort Collins moderate carbon monoxide nonattainment areas, the Greeley not classified carbon monoxide nonattainment area, and the Denver transitional ozone nonattainment area.

(b) On January 14, 1993 and on August 25, 1994, the Governor of Colorado submitted revisions to the State's nonattainment new source review permitting regulations to bring the State's regulations up to date with the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act. With these revisions, the State's regulations satisfy the part D new source review permitting requirements for the Denver metropolitan moderate PM-10 nonattainment area.

(c) A revision to the State Implementation Plan was submitted by the State of Colorado on July 31, 2002. The submittal revises the Common Provisions regulation by adding affirmative defense provisions for source owners and operators for excess emissions during periods of startup and shutdown. The affirmative defense provisions are contained in section II.J. As indicated in 40 CFR 52.320(c)(109), EPA approved the affirmative defense provisions con-

tained in sections II.J.1 through II.J.4 of the Common Provisions regulation, adopted August 16, 2001 and effective September 30, 2001. Section II.J.5 of the Common Provisions regulation, adopted August 16, 2001 and effective September 30, 2001, is disapproved.

[59 FR 64336, Dec. 14, 1994, as amended at 62 FR 2914, Jan. 21, 1997; 62 FR 68195, Dec. 31, 1997; 71 FR 8961, Feb. 22, 2006]

§ 52.330 Control strategy: Total suspended particulates.

(a) *Part D—Conditional Approval:* The Pueblo plan is approved assuming the State demonstrates by December 31, 1981, through air quality modeling, attainment of the 24-hour and annual standards, while considering emissions from all sources in the nonattainment area. In addition, the State must repromulgate Regulation No. 1 to satisfy reasonably available control technology requirements in accordance with the following schedule:

(1) The Commission will consider and adopt for public hearing any changes or additions to Regulation No. 1 by February 15, 1981.

(2) The proposed regulations will be published in the Colorado Register by March 10, 1981.

(3) Public hearing will be held by May 14, 1981.

(4) Regulations will be approved with an effective date no later than July 1, 1981, and submitted to EPA by the same date.

[46 FR 26302, May 12, 1981]

§ 52.331 Committal SIP for the Colorado Group II PM₁₀ areas.

On April 14, 1989, the Governor submitted a Committal SIP for the Colorado Group II PM₁₀ areas. The SIP commits the State to continue to monitor for PM₁₀, report data and to submit a full SIP if a violation of the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards is detected.

[54 FR 43178, Oct. 23, 1989]

§ 52.332 Control strategy: Particulate matter.

(a) On April 9, 1992, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM-10 nonattainment area plan for the Canon City area. The submittal was

made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Canon City on November 15, 1991.

(b)(1) On February 24, 1992, and December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for the Pagosa Springs area. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Pagosa Springs on November 15, 1991.

(2) On August 2, 1996, the Governor of Colorado submitted minor revisions to the Pagosa Springs Element of the Colorado PM₁₀ SIP.

(c) On May 27, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for the Lamar area. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Lamar on November 15, 1991.

(d) On December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted PM₁₀ contingency measures for the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment areas of Canon City, Lamar, and Pagosa Springs. The submittal was made to satisfy the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area requirements for contingency measures due for Canon City, Lamar, and Pagosa Springs on November 15, 1993.

(e)(1) On January 15, 1992, March 17, 1993, and December 9, 1993, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for the Aspen area. The submittals were made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Aspen on November 15, 1991. The December 9, 1993 submittal was also made to satisfy the PM₁₀ contingency measure requirements which were due for Aspen on November 15, 1993.

(2) On March 13, 1995, the Governor of Colorado submitted minor revisions to the Aspen Element of the Colorado PM₁₀ SIP.

(f) On March 30, 1995, and November 17, 1995, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for the Denver area. The March 30, 1995 submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements due

for the Denver PM₁₀ nonattainment area on November 15, 1991. The November 17, 1995 submittal was also made to satisfy the PM₁₀ contingency measure requirements which were due for Denver on November 15, 1993.

(g) On March 17, 1993, December 9, 1993, and April 22, 1996, the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for Telluride. The submittals were made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Telluride on November 15, 1991. The December 9, 1993 submittal was also made to satisfy the PM₁₀ contingency measure requirements which were due for Telluride on November 15, 1993.

(h) On September 16, 1997 the Governor of Colorado submitted the moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area plan for Steamboat Springs. The submittal was made to satisfy those moderate PM₁₀ nonattainment area SIP requirements which were due for Steamboat Springs on July 20, 1995.

(i) On September 22, 1997, the State of Colorado submitted a maintenance plan for the Canon City PM₁₀ nonattainment area and requested that the area be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. An April 24, 2000 letter from Margie Perkins, Director, Colorado Air Pollution Control Division, to Richard Long, Director, EPA Region VIII Air and Radiation Program, was sent to clarify the requirements of the contingency plan section of the Canon City maintenance plan. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(j) On May 10, 2000, the State of Colorado submitted maintenance plans for the Telluride and Pagosa Springs PM₁₀ nonattainment areas and requested that these areas be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The redesignation requests and maintenance plans satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(k) *Determination*—EPA has determined that the Steamboat Springs PM₁₀ “moderate” nonattainment area attained the PM₁₀ national ambient air quality standard by December 31, 2000. This determination is based on air

§§ 52.333–52.342

40 CFR Ch. I (7–1–08 Edition)

quality monitoring data from 1998, 1999, and 2000.

(l) On July 30, 2001, the State of Colorado submitted a maintenance plan for the Denver PM₁₀ nonattainment area (“PM-10 Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan For the Denver Metropolitan Area,” Chapter 4: “Maintenance Plan,” adopted April 19, 2001 by the Colorado Air Quality Control Commission and effective April 19, 2001) and requested that the area be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(m) On November 9, 2001, the State of Colorado submitted a maintenance plan for the Aspen PM₁₀ nonattainment area and requested that this area be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(n) On July 31, 2002, the State of Colorado submitted a maintenance plan for the Steamboat Springs PM₁₀ nonattainment area and requested that this area be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfies all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(o) On July 31, 2002, the State of Colorado submitted a maintenance plan for the Lamar PM₁₀ nonattainment area and requested that this area be redesignated to attainment for the PM₁₀ National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The redesignation request and maintenance plan satisfy all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(p) *Revisions to the Colorado State Implementation Plan*, PM₁₀ Revised Maintenance Plan for Denver, as adopted by the Colorado Air Quality Control Commission on December 15, 2005, State effective on March 2, 2006, and submitted by the Governor’s designee on September 25, 2006. The revised maintenance plan satisfies all applicable requirements of the Clean Air Act.

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 52.332, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

§§ 52.333–52.342 [Reserved]

§ 52.343 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met for the following categories of sources for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality:

- (1) Sources locating on Indian lands.
- (2) Sources locating on Indian Reservations.

(3) Sources which constructed prior to September 2, 1986 and which have not otherwise subjected themselves to Colorado’s PSD permitting regulations after September 2, 1986, either through application to Colorado for a PSD permit (in the case of those sources which improperly constructed without obtaining a PSD permit) or through application to Colorado for a major modification to the source.

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of § 52.21 except paragraph (a)(1) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable State plan for the State of Colorado for the sources identified in paragraph (a) of this section as not meeting the requirements of sections 160–165 of the Clean Air Act.

(c) The State of Colorado has clarified the generalized language contained in the Colorado Air Quality Control Regulations on the use of “applicable air quality models.” In a letter to Douglas M. Skie, EPA, dated May 19, 1989, Bradley J. Beckham, Director of the Air Pollution Control Division stated:

* * * All PSD permits reviewed by the Division will use the revised modeling guideline mentioned above [Guideline on Air Quality Models (Revised), EPA 450/2-78-027R including Supplement A (July 1987)] for determining if the air quality models, data bases,